

UNITED STATES DEARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/097, 468
 06/15/98
 HANSON
 5
 5181-159007F

WM02/0320

EXAMINER

B NOEL KIVLIN CONLEY ROSE & TAYON P O BOX 398 AUSTIN TX 78701-0398

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

ELISCA, P

DATE MAILED:

03/20/01

Piease find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

عارب السني

Application No. 09/097,468

App nt(

Hanson et al.

Examiner

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Group Art Unit 2161



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
a) X expires <u>three</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whicheve is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	·r
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	:
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	′
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Feb 20, 2001</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	
The proposed amendment(s):	
🛛 will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
will not be entered because:	
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.	;
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:	
☐ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
☐ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. **RES Point For 102/20/01	tion
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. **RES PNSE F1/EDON 12/20/01** The affidavit, exhibit-ac request for recensideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because:	
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	<u>ad</u>
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	<u>ad</u>
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. RF5 North F1 EDON 102 20/01	<u>ad</u>
 Newly proposed or amended claims	<u>ad</u>
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. NEST NEET POW 10 20 10	<u>ad</u>
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Response Filew 12/2/61	<u>ad</u>
Newly proposed or amended claims	ad I by
Newly proposed or amended claims	ad I by
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. **RESPINSE**FILED 12/20/1** The afficiavit, exhibit as request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: **Examiner believes that Tavallaei et al in view of Splett as set forth in the office action mailed on 06/07/2000 still recon the claims.** The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1-26 The proposed drawing correction filed on	ad I by
Newly proposed or amended claims	ad I by
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. **RESPINSE**FILED 12/20/1** The afficiavit, exhibit as request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: **Examiner believes that Tavallaei et al in view of Splett as set forth in the office action mailed on 06/07/2000 still recon the claims.** The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 1-26 The proposed drawing correction filed on	ad I by